

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,659	08/28/2001	Hiromi Ishikawa	Q65937	4455
7590 06/03/2004			EXAM	INER
	MION, ZINN, MACP	LEE, SHUN K		
	OC 20037-3202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ž.		*	2878	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	ion No	Applicant(a)			
.*	Applicat		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		59	ISHIKAWA, HIROMI			
Office Action Summary	Examin	r	Art Unit			
	Shun Le		2878			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspond nc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c - If the period for reply specified above is less than thir - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for r Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(t)	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the sta n statutory period will apply and the ply will, by statute, cause the ap hs after the mailing date of this c	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 06 January 20	04.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .						
3) Since this application is in conditi	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the pra	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims			•.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		onsideration.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to res	•	requirement.				
Application Papers			•			
9) The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.		*			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 8/28/01 & Applicant may not request that any o			•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) included the second sheet (s) include	ing the correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None or 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copi application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office ac	ity documents have be ity documents have be es of the priority docum itional Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviews 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1448 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0104. 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 6 January 2004. These drawings are acceptable.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4/1, 5, and 8/5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mueller et al. (US 6,373,074) in view of Endriz (US 5,594,752).

The specification (pg. 27) discloses that a cylindrical lens comprises both uniform and varying curvatures over the lens longitudinal direction.

In regard to claim **5**, Muelle*r et al.* disclose (Figs. 1-4, and 7) a radiation image read-out apparatus, comprising:

(i) stimulating ray irradiating means (11, 20, 21, 22, ..., 29) for linearly irradiating stimulating rays (16, 41) onto an area of a stimulable phosphor sheet (15), on

Art Unit: 2878

which a radiation image has been stored (column 4, lines 51-54), the stimulating rays (16, 41) causing the stimulable phosphor sheet (15) to emit light (17) in proportion to an amount of energy stored thereon during its exposure to radiation.

Page 3

- (ii) a line sensor (12), which comprises a plurality of photoelectric conversion devices (PD1, PD2, ..., PDn) arrayed along the linear area of the stimulable phosphor sheet (15) exposed to the linear stimulating rays (16, 41), and
- (iii) sub-scanning means (71, 72, 73) for moving the stimulable phosphor sheet (15) with respect to the stimulating ray irradiating means (11, 20, 21, 22, ..., 29) and the line sensor (12) and in a direction (A) intersecting with a length direction (B) of the linear area of the stimulable phosphor sheet (15) exposed to the linear stimulating rays (16, 41),
- wherein the stimulating ray irradiating means (11, 20, 21, 22, ..., 29) comprises:

 a plurality of laser diodes (LD1, LD2, ..., LDn, 20, 21, 22, ..., 29) located such
 that laser beams, which have been produced by the laser diodes (LD1, LD2,
 ..., LDn, 20, 21, 22, ..., 29) and act as the stimulating rays (16, 41), stand in a
 row along the length direction (B) of the linear area of the stimulable phosphor
 sheet (15) exposed to the linear stimulating rays (16, 41),
 - each of the laser diodes (LD1, LD2, ..., LDn, 20, 21, 22, ..., 29) being located in an orientation such that a beam spread direction (AP IN Fig. 3), which is normal to a junction plane, approximately coincides with the direction (B), along which the laser beams stand in a row, and

Art Unit: 2878

a reproduction optical device (30, 31, 32, ..., 39) such as cylindrical lenses (column 7, line 54 to column 8, line 57) for converging each of the laser beams, which have been produced by the laser diodes (LD1, LD2, ..., LDn, 20, 21, 22, ..., 29), only in a plane normal to the direction (B), along which the laser beams stand in a row, and onto the stimulable phosphor sheet (15).

While Mueller et al. also disclose a reproduction optical device such as cylindrical lenses, the apparatus of Mueller et al. lacks that the reproduction optical device is a single cylindrical lens. However, lenses for an array of laser diodes are well known in the art. For example, Endriz teaches (column 5, lines 25-53) that lenses for an array of laser diodes can be integrated into a single lens. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a single cylindrical lens (e.g., an integrated array of cylindrical lenses) in the apparatus of Mueller et al., in order to reduce the number of lens mountings to a single lens mounting (e.g., a reduction from a lens mounting for each laser diode to a single lens mounting for the laser diode array).

In regard to claim 1, the method steps are implicit for the modified apparatus of Mueller et al. since the structure is the same as the applicant's apparatus of claim 5.

In regard to claim 4 (which is dependent on claim 1) and claim 8 (which is dependent on claim 5), Mueller et al. also disclose (column 7, line 54 to column 8, line 57) that the plurality of the laser diodes are located such that the laser beams, which have been produced by the laser diodes adjacent to each other among the plurality of the laser diodes, stand in a row so as to have an overlapping region, at which the laser beams overlap each other.

Art Unit: 2878

5. Claims 2, 3, 4/2, 4/3, 6, 7, 8/6, 8/7, and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muelle*r et al.* (US 6,373,074) in view of Endriz (US 5,594,752) and Ishiwata (US 6,157,756).

In regard to claims **6** and **7**, Mueller *et al.* in view of Endriz is applied as in claim 5 above. While Mueller *et al.* also disclose a reproduction optical device, the apparatus of Mueller *et al.* lacks that the reproduction optical device comprises optical devices, each of which is located between one of the laser diodes and the cylindrical lens and scatters the laser beam having been produced by the corresponding laser diode. However, optical devices are well known in the art. For example, Ishiwata teaches (column 1, lines 6-61) it is known in the art that reproduction optical device (comprising lenses, prisms, and gratings) are used to expand a laser beam into a single line. Ishiwata also teaches (column 6, line 50 to column 7, line 15 and column 8, lines 8-15) to provide a fiber array (*i.e.*, fiber grating) in order to expand a laser beam into a uniform intensity arc (*i.e.*, line). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a grating in the apparatus of Mueller *et al.*, in order to expand a laser beam into a uniform intensity line.

In regard to claims 2 and 3, the method steps are implicit for the modified apparatus of Mueller et al. since the structure is the same as the applicant's apparatus of claims 6 and 7.

In regard to claim 4 (which is dependent on claim 2 or 3) and claim 8 (which is dependent on claim 6 or 7), Mueller et al. is applied as in claims 4/1 and 8/5 above.

Art Unit: 2878

In regard to claims 12-14, Mueller et al. in view of Endriz is applied as in claims 5 and 8/5 above. While Mueller et al. also disclose a reproduction optical device, the apparatus of Mueller et al. lacks that the reproduction optical device comprises a single cylindrical lens having a curvature varying over a lens longitudinal direction, such that a beam diameter of the linear laser beam at the linear area of the stimulable phosphor sheet exposed to the linear stimulating rays becomes uniform. However, optical devices are well known in the art. For example, Ishiwata teaches (column 1, lines 6-61) it is known in the art that reproduction optical device (comprising lenses, prisms, and gratings) are used to expand a laser beam into a single line. Ishiwata also teaches (column 6, line 50 to column 7, line 15; column 8, lines 8-15; and column 14, lines 34-49) to provide an anamorphic lens system (e.g., a cylindrical lens having a curvature varying over a lens longitudinal direction) and a fiber array (i.e., fiber grating) in order to expand a laser beam into a uniform intensity arc (i.e., line). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a cylindrical lens having a curvature varying over a lens longitudinal direction and a grating in the apparatus of Mueller et al., in order to expand a laser beam into a uniform intensity line.

In regard to claims **9-11**, the method steps are implicit for the modified apparatus of Mueller et al. since the structure is the same as the applicant's apparatus of claims 12-14.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the amended claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shun Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2878

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800